



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/581,809	09/07/2000	Anders Bergsten	192379US2PCT 6745	
22850 75	590 07/14/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			MIRZA, ADNAN M	
1940 DUKE ST ALEXANDRIA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			2141	
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

8

\ <u></u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/581,809	BERGSTEN ET AL.	a d
Navicory Notion	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Adnan M Mirza	2141	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 29 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply n places the applicat	to a ion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		•
<ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> <li>b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> <li>Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection E FINAL REJECTION.	n. See MPEP
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the feet of the first of the control of the control of the control of the first of the control of th	If extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one to later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final C	opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. $\  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \ $	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or sim	iplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without cancelling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	i.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	ımendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:		•	
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>15-40</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•	
10. ☐ Other:			
	BEST AVA	ILABLE COP	Y

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 15 has been amended by adding "gathering network infomration distributed by network devices". Claims 27-40 added as new claims.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argued that prior art did not disclose checking new routes before they are distributed. As to applicant's argument Arrowood disclosed when received, this "SET\_PATH" command is processed as described in connection with FIG. 5 to create the path successfully, update the path entry and create a path status entry with the path identification specified in "SET\_PATH" command. The results (success or failure) of this attempt to create a new path is then returned to the Manager node (col. 9, lines 18-24)...

RUPAL DHARIA

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

BEST AVAILABLE COPY